

Gender Differences in the Reading Comprehension of Grade Three Rural Learners in South Africa

Madoda Cekiso

*Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, University of Fort Hare,
East London Campus, South Africa
E-mail: mcekiso@ufh.ac.za*

KEYWORDS Gender Differences. Reading Comprehension. Gender Inequality. Second Language. Mother Tongue

ABSTRACT The study sought to explore the gender differences in the reading comprehension of grade three rural learners whose mother tongue is IsiXhosa in the Eastern Cape of South Africa. A convenience sample of 95 learners (49 boys and 46 girls) based in one school participated in the study. An English language reading comprehension test and IsiXhosa reading comprehension test were administered to the learners. A quantitative design was employed in this study. A t-test was used to determine whether the reading comprehension mean scores of boys and girls differed reliably from each other in both languages. The results indicated that there was no significant difference in the IsiXhosa reading comprehension test between boys and girls although the girls outperformed the boys. The results also indicated that there was a significant difference in English reading comprehension test between boys and girls. The results further revealed that the magnitude of the reading comprehension gap between boys and girls was wide in the English reading comprehension test than in IsiXhosa. Recommendations to close the gap in English reading comprehension between boys and girls were made.

INTRODUCTION

In order to improve the reading comprehension of both girls and boys, it is important to understand how they read and the source of any gender inequality. Moreover, understanding the reasons for differences in learner performance might be considered the first step for designing effective instructional interventions to address quality and equality concerns (United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) 2011). Gender differences in reading achievement are constantly found in national and international assessments (Logan and Johnson 2010). Many studies indicate that girls outperform boys and that this discrepancy appears regardless of the type of reading instruction children have received (Ming Chui and McBride-Chang 2006). Therefore, as gender is an issue with important theoretical and pedagogical implications in second language learning, it has received attention in language learning strategy research (Tercanlioglu 2004). Subsequently, over the last several decades an alarming trend has developed regarding the discrepancy between the boys' and girls' reading scores. Several researchers have attempted to understand why this trend is occurring while others have worked to devise strategies for combating this gender gap (Poole 2005). Poole (2005) further points out that while the importance of second language reading strategies that improve the reading compre-

hension of learners is known, there is still a gap in the knowledge about how to cater for the differences between males' and females' reading comprehension. The literature on the discrepancy between boys' and girls' reading comprehension shows that during reading many interactive processes occur. Brantmeier (2004) points out that readers' knowledge and experiences influence the realization of meaning, and if relevant schemata are activated during the reading process, then the reader may better comprehend the text. In addition, Alderson (2000) states that readers may differ in their knowledge and experiences and therefore the products of reading will differ. He further mentions that the gender of the reader is an important variable that determines the reading comprehension of the reader and therefore test designers need to be cautious not to bias assessment tasks toward one gender. Moreover, Brantmeier (2004) argues that the discrepancy in reading comprehension between boys and girls is noticeable at the early stages of language instruction. According to Seng and Hashim (2005), whether reading is conducted in a first or second language context it involves the reader, the text, and the interaction between the reader and text. However, Hammed (2008) points out that although reading in the mother tongue shares numerous important basic elements with reading in a second or foreign language, the process differs greatly. Therefore, the focus of the

current study is on exploration of gender differences in reading comprehension in the mother tongue and the second language between grade three boys and girls.

The examination of the gender differences in reading comprehension should be seen against the background of wider debate on the poor reading performance of learners internationally and nationally. Many studies have indicated that most problems manifesting themselves at the later stage of schooling have their roots in primary education practices (Fakeye 2011) and such problems are associated with the lack of the reading skills (UNESCO 2007). Subsequently, the current study seeks to compare the reading comprehension of the grade three learners in order to identify if there is any discrepancy between boys' and girls' reading comprehension. Having such information may assist classroom teachers to address aspects of instruction, assessment format and text selection. The literature has shown that girls and boys respond differently to different texts. For example, Bugel and Buunk (1996) quoted by Brantmeier (2004) conducted a study that aimed at examining gender differences in Second Language (L2) reading comprehension on a national foreign language examination in the Netherlands. The results indicated that males scored significantly better on the multiple choice comprehension items for essays about laser thermometers, volcanoes, cars and football players. On the other hand, females achieved significantly higher scores on the comprehension tests for essays on text topics such as midwives, a sad story and housewife's dilemma. Despite the fact that the above mentioned studies highlight the influence of gender on the reading comprehension of L2 learners, only a small number of L2 reading studies have been conducted where gender is examined (Brantmeier 2004). According to Dee (2006), some studies argue for the importance of gender gaps in academic achievement but others argue that the achievement gap between girls and boys has been greatly exaggerated. Based on the above-mentioned studies, it is clear that many studies that examine gender differences in reading comprehension have focused on the performance of learners on the reading comprehension tests in the second language. Therefore, there is a need for studies that focus on gender differences in reading comprehension in both L2 and L1 contexts, like the current study.

Reading comprehension has always been viewed as critical to academic success (Grabe and Stoller 2002). In addition, Al-Shumaimeri (2005) points out that research on reading has attempted to look for components that affect reading performance as well as reading behaviors that distinguish proficient from less proficient readers. Such studies have identified gender as one of the major factors that influence reading comprehension. Subsequently, numerous studies conducted on the reading comprehension between boys and girls reveal that girls outperform boys in reading comprehension (Topping et al. 2003; Gambell and Hunter 2010; White 2007; Lynn and Mikk 2009). These researchers further point out that this gender gap in reading achievement between boys and girls is a cause for concern and special intervention targeted to male learners is needed. According to White (2007), a number of suggested strategies to mediate the gender gap have been advanced, including the use of boy-friendly reading materials, the introduction of more male role models and teachers, adoption of technology-based programs, and experimentation with single-gender schooling.

The current study is significant as it sets out to examine the gender differences in reading comprehension in both, the mother tongue (IsiXhosa) and Second Language (English) of the grade three learners in the Eastern Cape of South Africa. As already mentioned above, an understanding of the gender differences in reading comprehension is important to various stakeholders and for various reasons. For example, Klinger et al. (2009) point out that while gender differences in literacy are consistently reported, the reasons for these differences and the interventions and strategies to address these differences are largely unknown. Surely there is a need to systematically examine ongoing attempts occurring in classrooms or schools to reduce this gap. Closing the gender difference in reading comprehension is likely to give both boys and girls better opportunity to achieve academically, especially in reading comprehension activities. Further, by examining gender differences in reading comprehension in both mother tongue and second language is likely to help better understand the way in which these mechanisms (gender and comprehension) affect one another in the mother tongue and in the second language. In other words, the study is likely to provide

valuable information on whether gender gap in reading comprehension is likely to manifest itself more or less in one of the languages. The current study, therefore, provides valuable information for policymakers, curriculum designers and teachers.

The literature has shown that gender difference in reading comprehension manifest itself mostly in the early years of the reading process. Below, Skinner et al. (2010) conducted a study among kindergarten through fifth grade learners. The results indicated that the girls scored significantly higher on all four kindergarten literacy skills. A similar study was conducted by Chatterji (2006) among kindergarten and fifth grade learners. The results indicated that males performed below females on tests of print familiarity, letter recognition, beginning and ending sounds, rhyming sounds, word recognition, receptive vocabulary, listening comprehension and comprehension of words in context. Both studies come to a consensus that gender difference manifests itself when children enter school and becomes greater by the first grade.

Logan and Johnson (2009) point out that gender differences are consistently found in reading attitudes and motivation and both variables are associated with reading achievement. Johnson and Watson (2005) argue that the type of reading instruction and learning environment can determine the discrepancy between boys and girls with regard to their reading achievement. They found that a systematic synthetic phonics method produced a gender difference in reading, which favored boys. In another group where the same researcher used the analytic phonics method, girls had better reading comprehension, better spelling and equivalent word reading scores to boys. Some studies (for example, Logan and Johnson 2010) consistently highlight gender differences in attitudes to reading and reading motivation. Thus, children's attitudes to reading, motivation and reading frequency may also potentially provide explanations for the consistent gender differences observed in reading. Other factors that have been highlighted as potentially providing explanation for the gender discrepancy are differences in attention, interest and preference for different types of classroom activities, amounts of time engaged in literary activities, test bias and choice of reading material (Logan and Johnson 2010).

Purpose of the Study

The literature has revealed that within the classroom environment, whilst all children receive the same literacy instruction, differences in attention, interest and preference for different types of classroom activities may mean that boys and girls spend different amounts of time engaged in literacy activities (Logan and Johnson 2010). In other words, female and male learners retain and retrieve information differently. Subsequently, teachers' understanding of gender differences in reading comprehension is important for organizing classroom activities, classroom interaction, material selection and assessment design that is likely to cater for the interests of both males and females. Influenced by the studies and literature discussed above, this study aims to provide more insight into strategies for closing the academic achievement gap between boys and girls, especially in reading comprehension in the mother tongue and in the second language. Therefore, the current study seeks to compare the reading comprehension of grade three boys and girls in order to determine if there is any gender discrepancy in their reading comprehension in two languages (IsiXhosa and English). Having such information is likely to assist the teachers so that they are able to cater for gender differences in their classroom activities. Specifically, the study seeks to address the following research questions:

1. What are the differences in the IsiXhosa reading comprehension test between boys and girls?
2. What are the differences in the English reading comprehension test between boys and girls?
3. To what extent is the magnitude of the reading comprehension gap between boys and girls different between L1 and L2 contexts?

METHODOLOGY

Design

The study followed a quantitative research design. Aliaga and Gunderson (2002) define quantitative methods as explaining phenomena by collecting numerical data that are analyzed using mathematically based methods. The quantitative research approach was suitable for this study as its design was quasi-experimental, it

analyzed data through statistics and compared the reading scores of two groups. The use of the quasi-experimental design in this study made it possible for the researcher to compare the reading comprehension test scores for the boys and girls.

Subjects and Sampling

The subjects of this study were 49 boys and 46 girls registered for grade 3 in 2011 in a rural school in Dutywa district of the Eastern Cape (South Africa). All the learners were IsiXhosa speakers as their mother tongue and English as a second language. They all had a rural background and all were day scholars. The school was selected by means of convenience sampling. In convenience sampling, the selection of subjects from the population is based on easy availability or accessibility (Burnard 2004). In this study all subjects had been exposed to English as a subject and IsiXhosa as medium of instruction from Grade 1. A reading comprehension test in IsiXhosa and English was administered to a total number of 95 learners. The subjects were in two intact classes in order to prevent disruption to the normal teaching routine at the school. The mean age of the learners was nine years for both girls and boys.

Instrumentation

The reading comprehension test that was used in this study is the one that was administered by the South African National Government for Systemic Evaluation Foundation Phase Grade 3 in 2004. A brief background to this test is important. One of the South African government responsibilities is to get involved in the national effort to combat illiteracy. Therefore, in 2001 and 2004, the Department of Education in South Africa conducted two national systemic evaluations to establish literacy and numeracy levels in primary schools. These surveys showed shocking low levels of reading ability across the country (National Reading Strategy 2008). The reading comprehension tests used in the survey appeared in all eleven official languages of South Africa. For the purpose of this study, IsiXhosa and English standardized versions were used. The reading comprehension test consisted of multiple-choice questions, fill in the blanks and short questions. Parents and learners were

informed about the aim of the study and that participation was voluntary.

Data Collection Procedure

The IsiXhosa version of the reading comprehension test was administered to both classes in one day during their classroom periods. Learners took about one hour to complete the reading comprehension test. The English version of the reading comprehension test was administered after three months. Dreyer (1998:23) points out that the three-month interval between administrations is deemed long enough to control any short-term memory effects. This was done due to the fact that the IsiXhosa reading comprehension test is a direct translation of the English reading comprehension test. A total of 95 learners were tested in both languages (IsiXhosa and English).

Data Analysis

A *t*-test was used in this study. The *t*-test is one type of inferential statistics. It is used to determine whether there is a significant difference between the means of two groups. In this study the *t*-test was used to determine whether the mean scores of the boys and girls differed reliably from each other (Tables 1 and 2). Cohen's effect size uses the following *d*-values:

Small effect: $d = 0, 2$

Medium effect: $d = 0, 5$

Large effect: $d = 0, 8$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

IsiXhosa Reading Comprehension Test Results

The first research question seeks to determine the difference in the IsiXhosa reading comprehension between girls and boys. The results for the reading comprehension test in the mother tongue are presented first. Table 1 shows that the results for IsiXhosa reading comprehension test favored the girls. However, the difference was not practically significant. The difference between the scores was 37.81 for girls and 33.18 for boys. Table 1 further shows that there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Barnet (1989) points out that reading comprehension encompasses a variety of factors, such as comprehension of the print-

Table 1: IsiXhosa reading comprehension scores by gender

<i>Gender</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Std dev</i>	<i>Std err</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Effect size</i>
Male	49	33.18	18.36	2.62	3.44	72.41	0.28	0.25
Female	46	37.81	15.65	2.30	10.34	74.13		

ed characters, background knowledge, interest or lack of in the passage being read, the mastering of reading strategies and linguistic ability. Based on the abovementioned factors, the small gap in favor of girls in reading comprehension between the boys and girls could be attributed to the fact that in the mother tongue the linguistic gap was not wide between boys and girls. They all had a common mother tongue and the results could be influenced by the fact that the linguistic ability of boys and girls was almost the same in the mother tongue. However, in the studies conducted in the second language context, the gap reported in reading comprehension ability between boys and girls is wide (Al-shumaimeri 2005; Brantmeier 2004; Lafontaine and Monseur 2009; Pae 2004; Hossein Keshavarz and Ashtarian 2008; Klinger et al. 2009; White 2007). The literature reveals that girls are always close to their mothers and they always engage themselves in the reading process, most of the time just for pleasure (Gumbell and Hunter 2010). Another contributing factor could be that boys and girls use almost the same reading strategies in reading in the mother tongue. However, it is also important to note that not all the girls performed well in the reading comprehension test in the mother tongue (Table 1).

English Reading Comprehension Test Results

Table 2 shows that the results of the English reading comprehension test favored the girls. The mean score for boys was 29.82 and the mean score for girls was 36.35. Table 2 further shows that there was a statistically significant difference between the reading comprehension scores obtained by boys and girls in favor of the girls. This finding is supported by many studies conducted in gender differences in the second lan-

guage (Al-Shumani 2005; Brantmeier 2004; Lafontaine and Monseur 2009; Pae 2004; Hossein Keshavarz and Ashtarian 2008; White 2007). In addition, Gambell and Hunter (2000) point out that whether one looks at urban or rural reading comprehension marks, female learners have outperformed their male counterparts. There could be many factors contributing to this wide gap in terms of reading comprehension performance between boys and girls in the current study.

Halpen (2006) points out that since girls generally develop earlier than boys, researchers often attribute their superior early reading skills in part to this biological factor. Halpern further points out that the ability to learn and use language contains a female advantage from as early as during the first years of schooling. This could be one of the reasons for the better performance of girls than boys in the current study. According to Brantmeier (2004), gender gap in reading comprehension is facilitated by question format and text characteristic. In the current study the majority of questions were multiple-choice, open-ended and short answer items. According to Routitsky and Turner (2003), open-ended questions may favor girls and short answer items may favor boys. However, Turner points out that as the item difficulty increases, the likelihood to favor boys for both open-ended and short answer items increases. This finding is not confirmed in the current study as the gender gap widened in favor of girls once reading became more complex in the second language. Brantmeier (2004) states that familiarity with the subject matter has a facilitating gender effect on L2 reading comprehension. In addition, Griva, Aleviadou and Semoglou (2010) point out that concerning text genres, it has been revealed that boys preferred adventure, science fiction and sports stories, while girls enjoyed

Table 2: English reading comprehension scores by gender

<i>Gender</i>	<i>N</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>Std dev</i>	<i>Std err</i>	<i>Min</i>	<i>Max</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Effect size</i>
Male	49	29.82	11.09	1.58	9.25	64.81	0.01	0.41
Female	46	36.35	15.97	2.35	11.11	74.07		

animal stories and stories about teenage problems, as well as romance, friendship and historical fiction. In the current study, two short reading passages in the reading comprehension test were based on animal stories, for example, the tortoise and the hare, the story about elephants and only one story was based on sports stories, for example, fundraising through sports. Based on the fact that boys and girls have different genre preferences, the wide gap in reading comprehension in favor of girls in the current study could also be attributed to the fact that the reading comprehension test structure favored the girls. In other words, the girls were more familiar with the text structure than the boys.

The Magnitude of the Reading Comprehension Gap Between Girls and Boys in L1 and L2 Contexts

The focus of the third research question was on the magnitude of the reading comprehension gap between boys and girls in L1 and L2 contexts. The results of the study indicate a wide gap between the performance of boys and girls in the L1 and L2 context. As already alluded to above, the performance gap between girls and boys in the L1 context was not statistically significant but in L2 context the performance between girls and boys was statistically significant in favor of girls. Accounting for the wide gap in reading comprehension in the L2 context between boys and girls in favor of girls has been supported by various studies mentioned above. To the knowledge of the researcher, no studies have been conducted in L1 contexts that deal with the gender differences in reading comprehension, especially among the rural learners whose mother tongue is IsiXhosa. Therefore, the results of the current study are important as they show that the reading comprehension gap is wide between boys and girls in reading comprehension in L2 than in L1. However, this is a cause for concern as the teachers' interest is to close whatever gap exists irrespective of the size.

Implications for Teaching Reading in Grade 3

The results of the current study have implications for teaching reading in the Grade 3 classroom. Based on the results of the study it is important for the teachers to be aware of the fact that gender differences in the reading compre-

hension performance exist. It is also important that teachers are capable of catering for the differences between boys and girls. Specifically, teachers should offer interesting reading material for both girls and boys. Since the study has indicated that girls and boys respond differently to different materials, therefore teachers should be able to provide a variety of text types that appeal to both girls and boys. The literature has shown that reading material or activities can promote a more positive attitude towards reading when adjusted to the learners' gender preferences (Griva et al. 2010). In other words, a lack of the appropriate schema may lead to poor reading comprehension. The results also indicated that there is a wide gap in reading comprehension between boys and girls in favor of the girls. This calls for the teachers to consider the gender differences as they design their classroom activities and tests. For example, the results of the current study indicated that in reading comprehension tests the girls outperformed the boys and one of the reasons could be that the reading comprehension test structure was in favor of the girls. This calls for the teachers to consider having a balance in their tests. In other words, they should design their tests in such a way that they appeal equally to both girls and boys so that both genders have equal opportunities.

The wide gap between girls and boys in reading comprehension in L2 context could be avoided by encouraging the boys to read the reading material that is often enjoyed by girls, for example, animal stories, friendship and historical fiction. Since, literature shows that girls read a wide range of genre as compared to boys (Gambel and Hunter 2010) exposing boys to a wide range of reading material is likely to develop their interest to read. If they are motivated to read, they are likely to improve their linguistic competence. The implication of the results as far as the linguistic competence is concerned is that the boys' linguistic competence in L2 was poor as compared to the girls. This manifests itself in the fact that when it comes to the L2 results, the reading comprehension gap widens in favor of the girls. Teachers should use simple language as they design their classroom tests and activities so as to cater for the boys.

CONCLUSION

The aim of the study was to explore gender differences in reading comprehension among the

Grade 3 rural learners. The results of the study revealed a statistically significant gap in reading comprehension test in L2 context between the boys and the girls in favor of the girls. This shows that the boys had a poor L2 linguistic competence as compared to the girls. This calls for the teachers to make means to develop the boys' linguistic competence. The difference in reading comprehension performance between girls and boys was not statistically significant in reading comprehension in the L1 context although the girls were still ahead of the boys. This calls for teachers to encourage the boys to read a wide range of material, even reading the material that is traditionally known to be girls' material. The study further calls for the policy-makers to tackle gender inequalities in education in South Africa.

REFERENCES

- Aliaga M, Gundersen B 2002. *Interactive Statistics*. New York: Prentice Hall PTR.
- Alderson JK 2000. *Assessing Reading*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Al-Shumaimeri YAN 2005. Gender Differences in Reading Comprehension Performance in Relation to Content Familiarity of Gender-neutral Texts. *Paper presented at the Second International Conference: Language, Culture and Literature*, Minia University, Egypt.
- Below JL, Skinner CH, Fearrington JY, Sorrell CA 2010. Gender differences in early literacy: Analysis of kindergarten through Fifth-Grade dynamic indicators of basic early literacy skills probes. *School Psychology Review*, 39(2): 240-257.
- Bugel K, Buunk BP 1996. Sex differences in foreign language text comprehension: The role of interests and prior knowledge. *The Modern Language Journal*, 80: 15-31.
- Burnard P 2004. Writing a qualitative research report. *Accident and Emergency Nursing*, 12: 176-181.
- Brantmeier C 2004. Building a comprehensive theory of adult foreign language reading: A variety of variables and research methods. *The Southern Journal of Linguistics*, 27: 1-7.
- Chatterji M 2006. Reading achievement gaps, correlates and moderators of early reading achievement: Evidence from the early childhood longitudinal study (ECLS) kindergarten to first grade sample. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 98(3): 489-507.
- Chin MM, McBride-Chang C 2006. Gender, context and reading: A comparison of students in 43 countries. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 10(4): 331-362.
- Cohen J 1977. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. Revised Edition. Academic Press: New York.
- Dee TS 2006. Teachers and the gender gaps in student achievement. *The Journal of Human Resources*, XL11(3): 528-537.
- Dreyer C 1998. Improving learners' reading comprehension by means of strategy instruction. *Journal for Language Teaching*, 31(1): 18-29.
- Gambell T, Hunters D 2010. Surveying gender differences in Canadian school literacy. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 32(5): 689-719.
- Fayeke DO 2011. Primary school pupils' perception of the efficacy of mother tongue education in Ibadan Metropolis. *Asian Social Science*, 7(12): 44-57.
- Grabe W, Stoller FL 2002. *Teaching and Researching*. London: Longman.
- Griva E, Alevriadou A, Semoglou K 2010. *Identifying Gender Differences in Reading Preferences and Strategies Employed by Greek Students: A Socio-cognitive Perspective*. London: Longman.
- Halpern DF 2006. Assessing gender gaps in learning and academic achievement. In: PA Alexander, PH Winne (Eds.): *Handbook of Educational Psychology*. 2nd Edition. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hammed HT 2008. A comparison of L1 and L2 reading: Cultural differences and schema. *Diala Jour*, V. 28: 1-14.
- Hossein K M, Ashtarian S 2008. The relationship between Iranian EFL learners' gender and reading comprehension of three different types of text. *IJAL*, 11(1): 1-21.
- Johnson RS, Watson J 2005. The Effects of Synthetic Phonics Teaching on Reading and Spelling Attainment. A Seven Year Longitudinal Study. Scottish Executive Education Department. From <<http://www.scotland.gov.uk/Resource/Doc/36496/0023582>>.
- Klinger DA, Shulha LA, Wade-Woolley L 2009. *Towards an Understanding of Gender Differences in Literacy Achievement*. Education Quality and Accountability Office Research, Queen's Printer for Ontario: Toronto.
- Lafontana D, Monseur C 2009. Gender gap in comparative studies of reading comprehension: To what extent do the test characteristics make a difference? *European Educational Research Journal*, 8(11): 69-79.
- Logan S, Johnson RS 2009. Gender differences in reading ability and attitudes: Examining where these differences lie. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 32(2): 199-203.
- Logan S, Johnson R 2010. Investigating gender differences in reading. *Educational Review*, 62(2): 175-187.
- Lyn R, Mikk J 2009. Sex differences in reading achievement. *TRAMES*, 13(1): 3-13.
- Klinger DA 2009. *Towards an Understanding of Gender Differences in Literacy Achievement*. EQAO Research. Ontario: Queen's Printer.
- Pae T 2004. Gender differences in reading comprehension with Korean EFL learners. *System*, 32(3): 265-281.
- Poole A 2005. Gender differences in reading strategy use among ESL College students. *Journal of College Reading and Learning*, 36(1): 7-20.
- Seng GH, Hashim F 2006. Use of L1 in L2 reading comprehension among tertiary ESL learners. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 18(1): 1-15.
- South African National Reading Strategy 2008. Department of Education, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa, pp. 4-25.

- Tercanlioglu L 2004. Exploring gender effect on adult foreign language learning strategies. *Issues in Educational Research*, 14(2): 1-11.
- Topping K, Valtin R, Roller C, Brozo W, Dionisio JL 2003. Policy and Practice Implications of the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2000. *Report of the International Reading Association PISA Task Force*. International Reading Association, Newark, DE.
- UNESCO 2007. *Strong Foundations: Early Childhood Care and Education*. Paris:UNESCO.
- UNESCO 2011. *Gender Differences in Student Achievement in Vietnam: Findings from the 2007 National Survey of Student Achievement*. International Institute for Educational Planning, An IIEP Evidence-based Policy Forum. Paris: UNESCO.
- White B 2007. Are girls better readers than boys? Which boys? Which girls? *Canadian Journal of Education*, 30(2): 554-581.

Paper received for publication on October 2013
Paper accepted for publication on February 2016